

Colorado Defense Lawyers Association The Civil Defense Bar

2025 Annual Conference Snowmass, Colorado

Bad Faith Deposition Case Study: Preparing and Defending Against Common Scenarios in Adjuster Depositions

Katelyn Werner, Denver, CO QUINTAIROS, PRIETO, WOOD & BOYER P.A. QPWB Brian Kershaw, NTAIROS, PRIETO, Denver, CO THE LAW OFFICES OF Winslow Taylor, **Denver**, CO

Overview

Bad Faith Deposition Preparation

Fact Pattern

Mock Bad Faith Deposition

- Case Study I: Compliance with Claims Guidelines and the Law
- Case Study II: Claims Investigation and Handling
- Case Study III: Liability and Damages

Final Thoughts + Q&A

Deposition Preparation

Deposition Preparation

Scheduling the Deposition Sessions

Know the Case -Strengths and Weaknesses Practice! Practice! Practice!



- T-Bone Accident
- Contested Liability
- Ms. Smith has \$250,000 in UIM coverage and requests that her carrier Excellent Insurance open a UIM claim
- Claim reassigned to adjuster Taylor Davis with no causation or damages determination by previous adjuster
- Davis determines Ms. Smith is at fault for the accident and questions medical causation



- Davis requests a medical authorization
- Ms. Smith's attorney demands Mr. Jones' policy limits of \$250,000, based on TBI treatment and prospective lumbar surgery
- A copy of the demand was also presented to Excellent Insurance with a request to evaluate and pay the benefits owed
- Davis determines the value of Ms. Smith's claim doesn't exceed the liability limits, but an IME would be requested if Ms. Smith's attorney disagreed
- •Ms. Smith's attorney does not respond



- Ms. Smith files suit against Mr. Jones
- Jury Verdict against Mr. Jones for \$350,000, determining he is at fault for the accident
- Davis immediately issues \$100,000 to Ms.
 Smith
- Ms. Smith sues Excellent Insurance for breach of contract, bad faith breach of contract, and unreasonable delay/denial.

Mock Bad Faith Deposition

Case Study I

Compliance with Claims Guidelines and the Law

Deposition Preparation

Case Study I – Compliance with Claims Guidelines and the Law

Familiarity with the Claims Manuals, Guidelines, Procedures and Compliance with Law

Training and Experience Deviations from Good Claims Handling

Case Study I

Compliance with Claims Guidelines and the Law



Summary

Case Study I – Compliance with Claims Guidelines and the Law



Case Study II

Claims Investigation and Handling

Deposition Preparation

Case Study II - Claims Investigation and Handling

Complete Investigation?

Relying on Experts and Delay in Investigation

Mistakes Do Not Automatically = Bad Faith

Case Study II

Claims Investigation and Handling





Case Study II – Claims Investigation and Handling

Basis for Decisions
Delay in Investigation – Requesting an IME
Incorrect Coverage Decisions and Jury Verdicts that Exceed the Policy Limit

Case Study III

Liability and Damages

Deposition Preparation Case Study III - Liability and Damages

Understanding context and whether something truly impacts the claim Information that is lacking may be more important than information already known

Hindsight is 20/20

Case Study III

Liability and Damages





Know What Decisions Were Made and Why

Know What Actions Were Not Taken and Why

Know When Issues Shouldn't Be Viewed in a Vaccuum

Final Thoughts

Final Thoughts

A skilled attorney will try to elicit testimony that make claims handling "mistakes" look intentional or like bad faith conduct.

Preparation and practice are necessary for adequately preparing a claims adjuster for deposition.

Answers need to incorporate the adjuster's knowledge of both the claim and the defense strategy.



Katelyn Werner, katelyn.werner@qpwblaw.com

Questions & Comments



Brian Kershaw, brian.kershaw@qpwblaw.com



Winslow Taylor, wtaylor@cwf-law.com

Thank You